





<b>ARBITRATION</b>	
<b>October 2013</b>	
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Nigeria</b></p> <p><i>Court rules on grant of stay of proceedings pending reference to arbitration</i></p> <p>The Lagos Court of Appeal recently ruled on the basic requirements for the grant of an order to stay proceedings pending reference of the dispute to arbitration. Having found the subject matter of the action to be arbitrable, the court refused – perhaps erroneously – to grant a stay of the proceedings on the sole ground that the appellant had not established readiness and willingness to arbitrate the dispute.</p> <p><b>Author:</b> <a href="#">Roseline Eshett</a></p> <p>Read More: <a href="http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K1SS83">http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K1SS83</a></p>  <p><b>Roseline Eshett</b></p>
<b>2</b>	<p><b>Brazil</b></p> <p><i>Pre-arbitral injunctions set courts and arbitrators on collision course</i></p> <p>The creation of specialised appeal courts has proved successful in several Brazilian states. In Sao Paulo, the nation's richest state, the business law chambers established within the state appellate court have produced relevant precedents that illustrate the interplay between the judiciary and arbitration. In one such precedent, the court's specialised chamber analysed the scope of pre-arbitral injunctions.</p> <p><b>Authors:</b> <a href="#">Fernando Eduardo Serec</a>, <a href="#">Antonio M Barbuto Neto</a></p> <p>Read More: <a href="http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K0KT8R">http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K0KT8R</a></p>  <p><b>Fernando Eduardo Serec</b></p>
<b>3</b>	<p><b>France</b></p> <p><i>Enforcement of international arbitration awards: further developments</i></p> <p>Reforms to French arbitration law determined that appellate review would no longer automatically stay execution of an award. A member of Parliament</p> 

	<p>questioned this regime, which does not provide for an adversarial debate at the <b>Elie Kleiman</b> level of the application for an exequatur order, by posing a question to the minister of justice. The minister recently responded that exequatur proceedings are to remain <i>ex parte</i> – for now, at least.</p> <p><b>Authors:</b> <b>Elie Kleiman, Shaparak Saleh</b></p> <p>Read More <a href="http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K0KT9C">http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K0KT9C</a></p>
<p><b>4</b></p>	<p><b>Liechtenstein</b></p> <p><i>Strengthening Liechtenstein's appeal as arbitration venue</i></p> <p>The Liechtenstein Chamber of Commerce and Industry's specific Rules of Arbitration aim to strengthen Liechtenstein's appeal as a venue for international arbitration. The rules deal with similar topics to those of, for example, the International Chamber of Commerce in Paris. They contain specific provisions for the determination of costs by an arbitral tribunal and govern confidentiality.</p> <p><b>Author:</b> <b>Peter Wolff</b></p> <p>Read More <a href="http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K2UB7D">http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&amp;l=7K2UB7D</a></p>  <p><b>Peter Wolff</b></p>