



DZUNGSRT & ASSOCIATES LLC
A Boutique Shipping & ADR Law Firm

HANOI OFFICE:
Unit 6, 11th Floor, HAREC Bld, 4A Lang Ha, Ba Dinh Dist, Hanoi,
S.R.Vietnam
Tel: +(84.4)3772 6970 / Fax: +(84.4) 3772 6971
SAIGON OFFICE:
7th Floor, 162 Pasteur, Ben Nghe Ward, Dist.1, HCMC, S.R.Vietnam
Tel: +(84.8) 3822 0076 / Fax: +(84.4) 3772 6971

www.dzungst.com

No.	ARBITRATION
1	<p>International</p> <p>Achieving an efficient arbitration process</p> <p>The flexibility of arbitral procedure should provide parties with the means to avoid the unpredictable and unforeseen costs and delays that are often associated with commercial litigation. However, such problems can creep into arbitrations. Parties can take a number of steps to prevent arbitration from taking on the undesirable characteristics of litigation.</p> <p>Author: Craig R Chiasson</p> <p>(Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H6SPUD)</p>
2	<p>Russia</p> <p>Supreme Arbitrazh Court rejects alternative (asymmetrical) arbitral clauses</p> <p>The Supreme <i>Arbitrazh</i> Court has issued Resolution 831/12, reaching a conclusion on the invalidity of alternative (asymmetrical) arbitral clauses. The resolution will have a significant impact on practice despite the fact that it does not completely resolve the issue of the validity of arbitration selection as a means of dispute resolution in alternative arbitral clauses.</p> <p>Authors: Yaroslav Moshennikov, Veronika Guseva</p> <p>(Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H6SPV3)</p>
3	<p>Spain</p> <p>Court rules on action to set aside arbitral award</p> <p>The Barcelona Court of Appeals recently issued a ruling in a case concerning an action to set aside an arbitral award issued by the Barcelona Court of Arbitration. The arguments of the annulment action were based on the Insolvency Act and the Civil Procedure Law</p> <p>Author: Félix J Montero</p> <p>(Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H6SPW8)</p>
4	<p>Nigeria</p> <p>Court rules on timeframe for proceedings to enforce arbitral awards</p> <p>A recent case has allowed the Lagos Court of Appeal to consider the timeframe within which</p>

	<p>proceedings to recognise and enforce foreign arbitral awards should be brought. The court pointed out that case law in this area is not straightforward, therefore disputing parties which may want to commence enforcement proceedings in Nigeria should conduct their arbitration proceedings expeditiously with no undue delays.</p> <p>Author: Dorothy Ufot (Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H7ZB0T)</p>
5	<p>USA</p> <p>District court grants Section 1782 discovery in support of NAFTA arbitration</p> <p>A US district court has permitted an applicant to obtain evidence from a third party under Title 28, Section 1782 of the US Code to support claims that the applicant had brought in a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) arbitration. The ruling represents a developing area of NAFTA practice and demonstrates the increasing importance of 1782 applications in international arbitration.</p> <p>Authors: JP Duffy, Kiran N Gore (Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H7ZB1B)</p>
6	<p>Brazil</p> <p>Bumps in the road to infrastructure arbitration</p> <p>The concession agreements of three recently privatised airports contain clauses submitting any disputes to International Chamber of Commerce arbitration, sending a clear pro-arbitration message to all interested foreign investors. However, the draft agreements for two relevant upcoming projects indicate that the government may have shifted its position on arbitration in major infrastructure works.</p> <p>Authors: Fernando Eduardo Serec, Antonio M Barbuto Neto (Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7H957HU)</p>
7	<p>United Kingdom</p> <p>Law governing arbitration has closest connection to law of the seat</p> <p>The Court of Appeal has upheld the first instance decision in <i>Sulamerica Cia Nacional de Seguros SA v Enesa Engenharia SA</i>. The court found that the law of the arbitration agreement had its closest and most real connection with the seat of the arbitration, not the substantive law governing the underlying insurance policy.</p> <p>Author: Joachim Delaney (Read article http://www.internationallawoffice.com/?i=55592&l=7HABDH6)</p>